

I. Attendance

Date:	October 7, 2016		
Time Started/Ended:	2:50pm - 5:14pm		
Venue:	A1206 - Moot Court		
Presided By:	Janine Angelo		
Prepared By:	Shanta Carlos		
Name	Unit	Committee	
Janine Angelo	CATCH2T17	Chief Legislator	Present
Isaac Ngo	EDGE2014	NatAff	Present
Sandy Cabrieto	EDGE2015	STRAW	Present
Maximillian Co	FAST2013	RnP	Present
Margarete Amper	FAST2014	STRAW	Present
Pio Alfonso	FAST2015	RnP	Late
Anna Canlas	BLAZE2016	NatAff	Present
Tatsuya Sato	BLAZE2017	STRAW	Present
Czarina Chan	BLAZE2018	NatAff	Absent
Hannah Tuason	68TH ENG	RnP	Present
Hazel Chung	69TH ENG	NatAff	Present
Victoria Lagera	70TH ENG	STRAW	Present
JP Crisostomo	FOCUS2014	STRAW	Absent
Coleen Velasco	FOCUS2015	RnP	Present
Hiraya Mendez	EXCEL2016	RnP	Late
Patricia Cruz	EXCEL2017	STRAW	Present
Andrew Militante	EXCEL2018	RnP	Present
Angela Lumba	STC	NatAff	Absent
Roy Loyola	STC		Absent
Luigi Dino	CATCH2T19	NatAff	Present
Christian Silan	CATCH2T18	STRAW	Absent

2. Preliminaries

Item	Details
2.1. Opening Prayer	2:50PM: Mr. Ngo leads the opening prayer. 2:51PM: The first and second roll call is done by Ms. Carlos

3. Agenda Proper

Item	Details
3.1. Approval of the September 19 minutes.	<p>3.1 2:52PM: Motion to remove “Tiricia Noriega” from the agenda.</p> <p>3.2 2:52PM: Motion approved.</p> <p>3.3 2:52PM: Motion to approve the September 19 minutes.</p> <p>3.4 2:53PM: Motion approved.</p> <p>3.5 2:54PM: Ms. Angelo asks for any points or comments regarding the September 19 minutes.</p> <p>3.6 2:54PM: Ms. Angelo requests for the committees to be updated by the LA secretary.</p> <p>3.7 2:56PM: Mr. Silan raises a friendly amendment requesting for an edit in his and Mr. Dinos name, and the addition of Ms. Angelos in the attendance.</p> <p>3.8 2:59PM: Ms. Mendez raises a friendly amendment requesting for a change in minutes on line 3.2.2; “53” rather than “50”, and 917 as the total number of gathered respondents.</p> <p>3.9 3:00PM: Amper raises a friendly amendment requesting for an edit in her and Mr. Cos name.</p> <p>3.10 3:00PM: Ms. Cruz raises a friendly amendment by requesting to remove the “840” from line 3.2.2.</p> <p>3.11 3:02PM: Mr. Co motions to approve the amendments.</p> <p>3.12 3:02PM: Minutes approved.</p>

3. Agenda Proper

<p>3.2. Mr. Caminade's case</p>	<p>3.2.1 3:02PM: Ms. Angelo asks if anyone would like to proceed with the agenda.</p> <p>3.2.2 3:02PM: Ms. Angelo requests for the proponents.</p> <p>3.2.3 3:02PM: Mr. Alfonso and Mr. Co wish to further review what the LA's really wanted in the context of the magistrate's qualifications.</p> <p>3.2.4 3:03PM: Ms. Mendez wishes to present files proving Mr. Caminades membership in Santugon.</p> <p>3.2.5 3:04PM: Ms. Angelo explains on a sidetone that with regards to the appointment of magistrates, it states in the constitution that there should be "No parties involved".</p> <p>3.2.6 3:05PM: Ms. Mendez, through her laptop, presents 20 pictures/Facebook posts as evidence against Mr. Caminade.</p> <p>3.2.7 3:19PM: Ms Tuason raises a parliamentary inquiry. She asks if an individual invited in court is automatically under oath.</p> <p>3.2.8 3:20PM: Ms. Cruz mentions that Mr. Caminade denied that he was an assistant general or a senior core member, and said that he was just a campaign officer.</p> <p>3.2.9 3:20PM: Motion to invite Ms. Frances to the floor.</p> <p>3.2.10 3:21PM: Motion approved.</p> <p>3.2.11 3:22PM: Ms. Lim, Ms. Tuason, and Ms. Cruz have an exchange regarding Mr. Caminades case.</p> <p>3.2.12 3:22PM: Ms. Lim raises an inquiry regarding how to become a senior core member.</p> <p>3.2.13 3:22PM: Mr. Alfonso explains that to become a senior core member you must be appointed by the SCG.</p> <p>3.2.14 3:23PM: Ms. Lim also asks if there is a list of requirements needed to be appointed as a senior core member.</p> <p>3.2.15 3:24PM: Mr. Alfonso says there is none, and Ms. Canlas follows after with elaboration.</p> <p>3.2.16 3:24PM: Ms. Tuason asks Ms. Lim how she feels regarding this issue of Mr. Caminade.</p> <p>3.2.17 3:25PM: Ms. Frances replies that she wishes to clarify with the higher ups.</p> <p>3.2.18 3:27PM: Mr. Sato shares that any applicant should not be caught involved in any party in his/her entire stay in DLSU. Moreover, that he/she should only be a member and not hold any position.</p> <p>3.2.19 3:29PM: Ms. Angelo asks if there any more motions or comments from the floor.</p> <p>3.2.20 3:29PM: Mr. Alfonso asks Ms. Lim a question.</p> <p>3.2.21 3:30PM: Ms. Lim answers that she wants to give the accused an opportunity to defend himself. She requests for the issue to be continued.</p> <p>3.2.22 3:30PM: Ms. Canlas raises a question.</p> <p>3.2.23 3:31PM: Ms. Canlas and Ms. Frances have an exchange of inquiry regarding the case at hand.</p> <p>3.2.24 3:32PM: Mr. Sato says that there is no need for further investigation.</p> <p>3.2.25 3:33PM: Ms Angelo asks if there is a motion to excuse Ms. Frances from the floor.</p> <p>3.2.26 3:33PM: Mr Sato motions to excuse Ms. Frances from the floor.</p>
---------------------------------	--

3. Agenda Proper

	<p>3.2.27 3:33PM: Mr Sato motions to excuse Ms. Frances from the floor.</p> <p>3.2.28 3:33PM: Ms. Tuason motions to move to voting procedures.</p> <p>3.2.29 3:33PM: Motion approved.</p> <p>RESULTS: 15-0-1</p> <p>3.2.30 3:34PM: Status disapproved.</p> <p>3.2.31 3:34PM: Motion to move to next agenda.</p> <p>3.2.32 3:34PM: Motion approved.</p>
--	---

3. Agenda Proper

3.3. Voting Procedures — Judiciary Applicants

3.3.1 3:35PM: Motion to invite Ms. Dumalaog to the floor.

3.3.2 3:35PM: Motion approved.

3.3.3 3:35PM: Ms. Angelo, on a side note, says to focus on capabilities and not just party affiliation.

3.3.4 3:35PM: Ms. Dumalaog introduces herself.

3.3.5 3:36PM: Ms. Tuason asks Ms. Dumalaog a question regarding her application in the judiciary.

3.3.5 3:36PM: Ms. Dumalaog: "I want to take up law after college, and I want to gain experience that's why I joined the judiciary."

3.3.6 3:36PM: Mr. Alfonso asks Ms. Dumalaog if being appointed right away would be the best thing.

3.3.7 3:37PM: Ms. Dumalaog: "I think no."

3.3.8 3:37PM: Ms. Tuason asks Ms. Dumalaog if she is aware of "The Secret Files" facebook posts and if another case were to arise what would she do to address it.

3.3.9 3:38 PM: Ms Anna Dumalaog: We can't do anything about it unless a student files a case.

3.3.10 3:39PM: Ms. Angelo asks if there any further questions.

3.3.11 3:39PM: Ms. Velasco: "What kinds of trainings have you done under the judiciary trainings?"

3.3.12 3:39PM: Ms. Dumalaog: "USG Constitution and Moot Court Proceedings."

3.3.13 3:40PM: Mr. Sato raises an inquiry regarding work and Ms. Dumalaogs principles.

3.3.14 3:41PM: Ms. Dumalaog: "I would rather take action based on my principles."

3.3.15 3:42PM: Mr. Sato: "So your going to be objective about it?"

3.3.16 3:41PM: Ms. Dumalaog: "Yes."

3.3.17 3:41PM: Mr. Sato: "So what if the clause in the constitution is something that's open to interpretation. How will you deal with it?"

3.3.18 3:41PM: Ms. Dumalaog: "I think that before I decide on the case, I should first review the meaning of the word. For example, 'active participation'. Then afterwards we can decide."

3.3.19 3:42PM: Ms. Canlas: "Are you against extrajudicial killings?"

3.3.20 3:43PM: Ms. Dumalaog: "I'm against the extrajudicial killings because there wasn't due process given to those who died and I think it should go through the right process. You can't just say that the person was a drug user from hearsay."

3.3.21 3:44PM: Ms. Mendez raises an inquiry.

3.3.22 3:44PM: Mr. Dino asks Ms. Dumalaog what are her strongest skills.

3.3.23 3:45PM: Ms. Dumalaog: "Unbiasedness and transparency."

3.3.24 3:45PM: Ms. Canlas raises an inquiry regarding Leila de Limas removal in position.

3.3.25 3:50PM: Ms. Dumaloag: "I heard in the news before that she had connections with someone inside the bilibid."

3.3.26 3:49PM: Ms. Mendez asks Ms. Dumalaog, "Why do you think your training is enough to become a magistrate?"

3. Agenda Proper

3.3.27 3:50PM: "As told to us in our training, we only need to know the USG constitution and the rules of court."

3.3.28 3:52PM: Ms. Tuason raises inquiry regarding "The Secret Files" Facebook posts. Ms. Tuason is curious as to why Ms. Dumalaog previously answered that the judiciary cannot do anything if there would arise another case.

3.3.29 3:53PM: Ms. Lagera raises inquiry related to Ms. Tuasons. Ms. Lagera asks, "How far are you willing to go to bring justice for these kids?"

3.3.30 3:56PM: Ms. Cruz asks Ms. Dumalaog if she considers the illegal of funds as stealing.

3.3.31 3:56PM: Ms. Dumalaog: "Yes, each student is subjected to law.

3.3.32 3:59PM: Ms. Mendez asks Ms. Dumalaog what her vision for the judiciary is this year.

3.3.33 4:00PM: Ms. Dumalaog: "Transparency."

3.3.34 4:00PM: Mr. Militante shares the system upheld by the judiciary.

3.3.35 4:01PM: Mr. Sato motions to limit the discussion for 5 more minutes.

3.3.36 4:01PM: Motion approved.

3.3.37 4:01PM: Motion to excuse Ms. Dumalaog from the floor.

3.3.38 4:02PM: Motion approved

3.3.39 4:02PM: Motion to remove whereas statement.

3.3.40 4:02PM: Mr. Alfonso requests for an edit on line 60 — removal of the written "College of Business; an edit on lines 19 and 20 for the written "University Student Government"; and an edit on line 23 — change the written "College of Business" to "University Student Government".

3.3.40 4:03PM: Mr. Co motions to proceed to voting procedure.

3.3.41 4:03PM: Ms. Tuason motions for a 5 minute recess for discussion.

3.3.42 4:03PM: Motion approved

3.3.43 4:10PM: Back in session.

3.3.44 4:10PM: Mr. Co motions to proceed to voting procedure.

RESULT: 5-3-8

3.3.45 4:12PM: Ms. Angelo announces the resolutions is disapproved by abstentions.

3.3.46 4:12PM: Ms. Angelo asks the reasons of those who abstained.

3.3.39 4:12PM: Ms. Canlas answers that she believes Ms. Dumalaog could use more experience and practice.

3.3.47 4:13PM: Mr. Silan motions to proceed to the next agenda.

3.3.48 4:13PM: Motion approved.

3.3.49 4:13PM: Ms. Angelo requests for the proponents.

3.3.50 4:14PM: Ms. Cruz motions to proceed to the voting procedure.

RESULT: 12-0-4

3.3.51 4:14PM: Motion to proceed to voting procedure.

3. Agenda Proper

3.3.52 4:14PM: Ms. Angelo requests for the proponents.

3.3.53 4:15PM: Ms. Cruz presents posts regarding Ms. Isabel Doctolero.

3.3.54 4:18PM: Ms. Velasco motions to proceed to voting procedure.

3.3.55 4:18PM: Motion approved.

RESULT: 13-1-2 PLAR 2016-0-15

3.3.56 4:20PM: Status approved.

3.3.57 4:20PM: Mr. Sato says that there should be a clear and specific guideline regarding active membership in a political party.

3.3.58 4:21PM: Ms. Mendez motions to proceed to next agenda.

3.3.59 4:21PM: Motion approved.

3.3.60 4:22PM: Ms. Angelo informs of the 5 applicants that day, but with the absence of 1 due to a class. There would be 5 more applicants for the next meeting.

3.3.61 4:23PM: Mr. Sato motions to limit the interview to 10 minutes per applicant.

3.3.62 4:23PM: Motion approved.

3.3.63 4:23PM: Mr. Sato motions to invite Ms. Basera on the floor.

3.3.64 4:24PM: Motion approved.

3.3.65 4:24PM: Ms. Basera is not present.

3.3.66 4:25PM: Ms. Cruz motions to lay the resolution on the table.

RESULTS: 16-0-0

3.3.67 4:25PM: Resolution for Ms. Basera now laid on the table.

3.3.68 4:25PM: Mr. Silan motion to proceed to the next agenda.

3.3.69 4:25PM: Motion approved.

3.3.70 4:25PM: Ms. Angelo requests for the proponents.

3.3.71 4:26PM: Ms. Lumba motions to invite Mr. John on the floor.

3.3.72 4:27PM: Mr. John introduces himself.

3.3.73 4:28PM: Ms. Mendez requests Mr. John to further introduce himself.

3.3.74 4:28PM: Ms. Lagera ask Mr. John what he would be able to bring to the table.

3.3.75 4:28PM: Mr. John: "Integrity and honesty."

3.3.76 4:29PM: Mr Sato asks Mr. John what his proposals are to resolve issues regarding finance.

3.3.77 4:31PM: Mr. Sato asks Mr. John how he is going to be able to balance efficiency and transparency.

3.3.78 4:34PM: Ms. Cruz asks Mr. John why he chose to apply for the position of an auditor.

3.3.79 4:34PM: Mr. John: "It is for my personal growth and for the benefit of University Student Government."

3.3.80 4:35PM: Ms. Cruz gives Mr. John a hypothetical situation regarding corruption involving his commissioner, and asks what would be his solution.

3.3.81 4:37PM: Mr. Militante asks Mr. John what makes him different from the other applicants.

3. Agenda Proper

3.3.82 4:37PM: Mr. John : “My track record of integrity.”

3.3.83 4:38PM: Ms. Mendez raises the last question, similar to her first; If the commissioner was actually part of the corruption act, what would Mr. John do.

3.3.84 4:39PM: Mr. John: “I would no longer give a benefit of the doubt.”

3.3.85 4:40PM: Ms. Lumba motions to excuse Mr. John.

3.3.86 4:40PM: Motion approved.

3.3.87 4:41PM: Ms. Angelo asks if there are any motions to lay the resolutions.

3.3.88 4:41PM: Ms. Lumba motions to lay the resolutions.

RESULTS: 16-0-0

3.3.89 4:41PM: Resolutions approved.

3.3.90 4:41PM: Ms. Cabrieto motions to move to the next agenda regarding the resolution calling for Ms. Margaret Reyes.

RESULTS: 16-0-0

3.3.91 4:43PM: Resolution played on the table.

3.3.92 4:43PM: Ms. Chung Motions to move to the next agenda.

3.3.93 4:43PM: Ms. Angela requests for the proponents.

3.3.94 4:43PM: Ms. Chung motions to invite Mr. Terel on the floor.

3.3.95 4:43PM: Mr. Terel introduces himself.

3.3.96 4:44PM: Ms. Lagera ask Mr. Terel what he can contribute.

3.3.97 4:44PM: Mr. Terel: “My skills.”

3.3.98 4:45PM: Ms. Mendez asks Mr. Terel why had he chosen to apply as an auditor.

3.3.99 4:45PM: Mr. Sato asks Mr. Terel what makes him qualify for the position.

3.3.100 4:46PM: Mr. Silan asks Mr. Terel what his work ethics are.

3.3.101 4:48PM: Mr. Terel: “My ability to finish work as soon as possible in order to provide room for revisions.”

3.3.102 4:48PM: Mr. Dino raises inquiry regarding Mr. Terels answer in his application form.

3.3.103 4:48PM: Ms. Lagera asks Mr. Terel what he would do if P100 is lost from the funds.

3.3.104 4:48M: Mr. Terel: “I would initiate an investigation because I believe that every peso counts.”

3.3.105 4:48PM: Ms. Mendez asks Mr. Terel what his edge is to the other 6 applicants.

3.3.106 4:48PM: Mr. Terel: “My effort to give my best.”

3.3.107 4:49PM: Ms. Canlas ask Mr. Terel what other skills can he offer besides his familiarity with auditing.

3.3.108 4:50PM: Mr. Terel: “I have proven myself most responsible.”

3.3.109 4:50PM: Mr. Ngo asks Mr. Terel for a specific scenario before that would proves Mr. Terels prompt.

3.3.110 4:50PM: Mr. Terel: “Instances before wherein I was given responsibility and I was able to comply with them.”

3. Agenda Proper

Item	Details
3.1. Approval of the September 19 minutes.	<p>3.1 2:52PM: Motion to remove “Tiricia Noriega” from the agenda.</p> <p>3.2 2:52PM: Motion approved.</p> <p>3.3 2:52PM: Motion to approve the September 19 minutes.</p> <p>3.4 2:53PM: Motion approved.</p> <p>3.5 2:54PM: Ms. Angelo asks for any points or comments regarding the September 19 minutes.</p> <p>3.6 2:54PM: Ms. Angelo requests for the committees to be updated by the LA secretary.</p> <p>3.7 2:56PM: Mr. Silan raises a friendly amendment requesting for an edit in his and Mr. Dinos name, and the addition of Ms. Angelos in the attendance.</p> <p>3.8 2:59PM: Ms. Mendez raises a friendly amendment requesting for a change in minutes on line 3.2.2; “53” rather than “50”, and 917 as the total number of gathered respondents.</p> <p>3.9 3:00PM: Amper raises a friendly amendment requesting for an edit in her and Mr. Cos name.</p> <p>3.10 3:00PM: Ms. Cruz raises a friendly amendment by requesting to remove the “840” from line 3.2.2.</p> <p>3.11 3:02PM: Mr. Co motions to approve the amendments.</p> <p>3.12 3:02PM: Minutes approved.</p>

3. Agenda Proper

	<p>3.3.111 4:51PM: Ms. Mendez gives a hypothetical situation regarding the illegal disbursement of funds involving a USG officer. Ms. Mendez asks what Mr. Terel would do as solution.</p> <p>3.3.112 4:53PM: Mr. Terel: "I would initiate an investigation."</p> <p>3.3.113 4:53PM: Ms. Mendez adds to her hypothetical situation that the commissioner was negligent and tired.</p> <p>3.3.114 4:53PM: Mr. Terel: "Of course first, ipapaexplain ko siya kasi everyone has the right to defend. Then ifforward ko rin sa Judiciary."</p> <p>3.3.115 4:54PM: Ms. Canlas raises an inquiry on the commissioner's problem regarding the consistent raise of a certain issue.</p> <p>3.3.116 4:56PM: Mr. Ngo asks Mr. Terel which would be of higher priority to him, academics or work.</p> <p>3.3.117 4:56PM: Mr. Terel: "Actually academics, pero as much as possible kung kaya nating isingit yung mga extra curriculars, aagahan ko yung paggawa sa isa."</p> <p>3.3.118 4:57PM: Ms. Lagera motions to excuse Mr. Terel from the floor.</p> <p>3.3.119 4:57PM: Motion approved.</p> <p>3.3.120 4:57PM: Mr. Militante motions to lay this resolution on the table.</p> <p>RESULTS: 16-0-0</p> <p>3.3.121 4:57PM: Status laid on the table.</p> <p>3.3.122 4:58PM: Ms. Lumba motions to proceed to other matters.</p> <p>3.3.123 4:58PM: Mr. Silan motions to remove the parliamentary procedure for 15 minutes.</p> <p>3.3.124 4:58PM: Motion approved.</p>
3.4. Other matters	<p>3.4.1 4:59PM: Ms. Angelo discusses with the LA representatives their upcoming LA booth (Oct. 10-13, Henry Sy lobby, Italian themed) and SAFE booth (Oct. 19, fraternity issue)</p> <p>3.4.2 5:13PM: Ms. Mendez motions to adjourn the meeting.</p> <p>3.4.3 5:14PM: Motion adjourned.</p>