

**1.****1. Attendance**

Date:	October 14, 2016		
Time Started/Ended:	3:00pm - 6:08pm		
Venue:	LS219		
Presided By:	Janine Angelo		
Prepared By:	Shanta Carlos		
<b>Name</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Committee</b>	
Janine Angelo	CATCH2T17	Chief Legislator	Present
Isaac Ngo	EDGE2014	NatAff	Present
Sandy Cabrieto	EDGE2015	STRAW	Present
Maximillian Co	FAST2013	RnP	Present
Margarete Amper	FAST2014	STRAW	Present
Pio Alfonso	FAST2015	RnP	Late
Anna Canlas	BLAZE2016	NatAff	Present
Tatsuya Sato	BLAZE2017	STRAW	Present
Czarina Chan	BLAZE2018	NatAff	Absent
Hannah Tuason	68TH ENG	RnP	Present
Hazel Chung	69TH ENG	NatAff	Present
Victoria Lagera	70TH ENG	STRAW	Present
JP Crisostomo	FOCUS2014	STRAW	Absent
Coleen Velasco	FOCUS2015	RnP	Present
Hiraya Mendez	EXCEL2016	RnP	Late
Patricia Cruz	EXCEL2017	STRAW	Present
Andrew Militante	EXCEL2018	RnP	Present
Angela Lumba	STC	NatAff	Absent
Roy Loyola	STC		Absent
Luigi Dino	CATCH2T19	NatAff	Present
Christian Silan	CATCH2T18	STRAW	Absent

**2. Preliminaries**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Details</b>
2.1. Opening Prayer	3:02PM: Ms. Amper leads the opening prayer. 3:03PM: The first and second roll call is done by Ms. Carlos

### 3. Agenda Proper

Item	Details
3.1. Approval of September 23 minutes.	<p><b>3.1.1 3:03PM:</b> Mr. Militante motions to amend the agenda regarding Margaret Reyes and Caroline Uy.</p> <p>3.1.2 3:03PM: Motion carried.</p> <p>3.1.3 3:04PM: Motion to proceed to the discussion of the September 23 minutes.</p> <p>3.1.4 3:04PM: Motion approved.</p> <p>3.1.5 3:04PM: Ms. Lagera raises a friendly amendment to correct the spelling of her name.</p> <p>3.1.6 3:05PM: Mr. Ngo raises a friendly amendment for line 3.1.14. Change of “amging” to “maging”.</p> <p>3.1.7 3:05PM: Ms. Chung raises a friendly amendment regarding a change in her name.</p> <p>3.1.8 3:06PM: Ms. Cruz raises a friendly amendment regarding the resolution of ID’s found in the informal discussion.</p> <p>3.1.9 3:07PM: Mr. Silan motions to remove a parliamentary statement.</p> <p>3.1.10 3:08PM: Mr. Ngo raises a friendly amendment for line 3.1.15. Change of “kop ag-uwi” to “ko pag-uwi”.</p> <p>3.1.11 3:09PM: Mr. Co raises a friendly amendment. Change of “Eating the classroom” to “Eating inside the classroom”.</p> <p>3.1.12 3:09PM: Ms. Tuason raises a friendly amendment regarding the missing words on line 3.3.5.</p> <p>3.1.13 3:12PM: Mr. Dino raises a friendly amendment for line 3.3.9 regarding the correct spelling of candidates.</p> <p>3.1.14 3:12PM: Ms. Lagera raises a friendly amendment for line 3.10.3. Correct statement to “Do you think the COMELEC was at fault at some point?”.</p> <p>3.1.15 3:13PM: Ms. Cruz raises a friendly amendment for the lines following 3.3.46. Mr. Ambas’ reply had not been put into context.</p> <p>3.1.16 3:14PM: Ms. Tuason raises a friendly amendment to edit the statement on line 3.7.10.</p> <p>3.1.17 3:15PM: Ms. Lagera raises a friendly amendment for line 3.11.15. Correct “specif” to “specific”.</p> <p>3.1.18 3:16PM: Mr. Ngo raises a friendly amendment for line 3.7.7 due to incompleteness of written statement.</p> <p>3.1.19 3:17PM: Ms. Cruz motions to lay the minutes on the table.</p> <p>3.1.20 3:17PM: Motion approved.</p>

### 3. Agenda Proper

Item	Details
3.2 Voting Procedures	<p><b>3.2.1 3:17PM:</b> Ms. Tuason suggests the writing of the minutes to be verbatim.</p> <p>3.2.2 3:17PM: Ms. Angelo explains that there has been a resolution made for the current format used.</p> <p>3.2.3 3:18PM: Mr. Militante motions to to move to the next agenda regarding Caroline Fey.</p> <p>3.2.4 3:18PM: Motion approved.</p> <p>3.2.5 3:18PM: Ms. Angelo requests for the proponents.</p> <p>3.2.6 3:18PM: Mr. Militante says that there will be a discussion of the appointment of COA and that the LA's comment will be taken into consideration.</p> <p>3.2.7 3:19PM: Mr. Sato motion to limit the interview for 10 mins.</p> <p>3.2.8 3:19PM: Motion approved.</p> <p>3.2.9 3:20PM: Mr. Militante motions to invite Ms. Seculles on the floor.</p> <p>3.2.10 3:20PM: Motion approved.</p> <p>3.2.11 3:20PM: Ms. Seculles introduces herself.</p> <p>3.2.12 3:20PM: Ms. Lagera asks Ms. Seculles if she has any plans regarding the corruption issues.</p> <p>3.2.13 3:22PM: Ms. Seculles: "I will file for an investigation to resolve any issue of corruption."</p> <p>3.2.14 3:22PM: Ms. Velasco asks Ms. Seculles if she has undergone training that makes her viable for the position she is applying for.</p> <p>3.2.15 3:23PM: Ms. Seculles: "I have undergone training in KPMG, a top school with regards to auditing."</p> <p>3.2.16 3:23PM: Ms. Chung asks Ms. Seculles why she is applying to be an advisor given her training.</p> <p>3.2.17 3:24PM: Ms. Seculles: "I have been advised to do so and I feel it is best for personal experience."</p> <p>3.2.18 3:25PM: Ms. Tuason asks Ms. Seculles to share more about the files case of the COA.</p> <p>3.2.19 3:25PM: Ms. Seculles: "I am not so familiar with the case but if i remember correctly, Alvaro filed against the previous EB Treasurer ('14-'15) due to an issue regarding an unmatched balance of accounts, causing the COA to file for the previous EB Treasurers impeachment."</p> <p>3.2.20 3:26PM: Mr. Dino asks what is the roll of an advisory in commission of audit.</p> <p>3.2.21 3:27PM: Ms. Seculles: "The advisory are those whom the auditors consult."</p> <p>3.2.22 3:27PM: Ms. Mendez gives a hypothetical situation concerning discrepancy in the way an auditor would USG funds; the auditor being aware and the amount lacking equivalent to 1 peso.</p> <p>3.2.23 3:28PM: Ms. Seculles: "The COA has a process to follow and that will be my plan of action."</p> <p>3.2.24 3:29PM: Ms. Cruz asks, "Given a situation, would you be willing to go beyond impeachment?."</p> <p>3.2.25 3:30PM: Ms. Seculles: "It is beyond me, as an advisor, to bring the issue outside of school."</p> <p>3.2.26 3:31PM: Ms. Mendez raises an inquiry.</p>

### 3. Agenda Proper

Item	Details
3.2 Voting Procedures	<p>3.2.27 3:31PM: Ms. Seculles answers.</p> <p>3.2.28 3:32PM: Ms. Cabrieto motions to excuse Ms. Seculles from the floor.</p> <p>3.2.29 3:32PM: Motion approved.</p> <p>3.2.30 3:33PM: Motion to proceed to voting procedure.</p> <p>3.2.31 3:33PM: Motion approved.</p> <p><b>RESULTS: 13-0-0 RESO 2016-16</b></p> <p>3.2.32 3:34PM: Ms. Amper motions to lay resolution on the table.</p> <p><b>RESULTS: 13-0-0</b></p> <p>3.2.33 3:35PM: Status played on the table.</p> <p>3.2.34 3:36PM: Ms. Velasco mtions to proceed to the next agenda.</p> <p>3.2.35 3:36PM: Motion approved.</p> <p>3.2.36 3:37PM: Ms. Amper motions to call Jes Lee to the floor.</p> <p>3.2.37 3:37PM: Mr. Lee introduces himself</p> <p>3.2.38 3:38PM: Mr. Ngo asks Mr. Lee to mention some of the things he can't do which may hinder him from working efficiently.</p> <p>3.2.39 3:39PM: Mr. Lee: "When co-workers are not as passionate as you are."</p> <p>3.2.40 3:39PM: Mr. Ngo further asks how Mr. Lee would prove his confidentiality despite having worked in PLARIDEL.</p> <p>3.2.41 3:40PM: Mr. Lee: "I have the ability to limit the information I share and will not share."</p> <p>3.2.42 3:41PM: Ms. Amper raises a point of clarification regarding Mr. Lees application.</p> <p>3.2.43 3:41PM: Mr. Lee: "If ever, I can be a commissioner."</p> <p>3.2.44 3:43PM: Ms. Lagera asks Mr. Lee what he wants to uphold in the COA.</p> <p>3.2.45 3:43PM: Mr. Lee: "I want to really put attention on the cases because it is our job to publicise information."</p> <p>3.2.46 3:44PM: Ms. Tuason asks Mr. Lee what he has done to learn auditing.</p> <p>3.2.47 3:44PM: Mr. Lee: "In terms of theoretical knowledge, I know a lot about auditing; but in terms of application, I admit that I am not as good."</p> <p>3.2.48 3:45PM: Ms. Mendez gives a hypotheticals situation concerning an auditor who knew about an illegal disbursement of funds. She asks what Mr. Lee would do to solve the given scenario.</p> <p>3.2.49 3:45PM: Mr. Lee: "There will be punishment and a renouncement of the auditors position. It is not about the amount stolen but the intention behind it, and there should be a record kept of his crime."</p> <p>3.2.50 3:46PM: Ms. Lagera asks Mr. Lee, "If there is an undeclared amount of 100 pesos, would you let it pass or would you do something about it?"</p> <p>3.2.51 3:47PM: Mr. Lee: "Intention is a big thing for me and I will look into the motivation held by the one who did it."</p> <p>3.2.52 3:48PM: Ms. Mendez raises a follow-up question, asking if Mr. Lee would be willing to file a case against the judiciary.</p> <p>3.2.53 3:48PM: Mr. Lee: "Yes."</p> <p>3.2.54 3:48PM: Mr. Alfonso asks Mr. Lee what his measure of intention is and how would he converse with the person who made the mistake.</p> <p>3.2.55 3:49PM: Mr. Lee: "The people I am working with would be credible enough to get information from."</p> <p>3.2.56 3:49PM: Mr. Ngo asks Mr. Lee what he would do given a situation wherein a close friend of his does wrong; rationale was relayed and he understood.</p>

### 3. Agenda Proper

Item	Details
3.2 Voting Procedures	<p>3.2.57 3:50PM: Mr. Lee: "Choosing between my friend or the right thing to do, I would have done the right thing to do. I have had the experience."</p> <p>3.2.58 3:51PM: Ms. Mendez asks Mr. Lee what is the last impression he wants to give regarding his approval.</p> <p>3.2.59 3:52PM: Mr. Lee: "Ang aking pagkatagalog at meron kaming pinanghahawakan sa PLARIDEL at iyon ay ang tapang".</p> <p>3.2.60 3:53PM: Ms. Amper motions to excuse Mr. Lee from the floor.</p> <p>3.2.61 3:53PM: Motion approved.</p> <p>3.2.62 3:53PM: Motion to proceed to the voting procedure.</p> <p>3.2.63 3:54PM: Motion approved.</p> <p><b>RESULTS: 13-0-0</b></p> <p>3.2.64 3:54PM: Status approved.</p> <p>3.2.65 3:55PM: Ms. Cruz motions to proceed to the voting procedure for Ms. Isip</p> <p>3.2.66 3:56PM: Motion approved.</p> <p><b>RESULTS: 13-0-0 RESO for the appointment of Ms. Isip as auditor.</b></p> <p>3.2.67 3:57PM: Status approved.</p> <p>3.2.68 3:57PM: Ms. Cabrieto motions to remove the parliamentary procedure for 20 minutes.</p> <p>3.2.69 3:57PM: Motion approved.</p>

### 3. Agenda Proper

Item	Details
3.3 INFORMAL DISCUSSION	<p>Discussion and comments for Ms. Isip. 3:58PM: Ms. Cruz: I think he is very principled and very firm with what he stands for. He knows what to do and where he is going. 3:59PM: Mr. Ngo: He is grounded and he knows about theories and application. 3:59PM: Ms. Mendez: Meron siyang clear na sagot.</p> <p>Discussion and comments for Mr. Terel. 4:01PM: Mr. Militante: No. It is his first year doing auditing. 4:01PM: Ms. Amper quotes Mr. Terel on his unwillingness to go to the judiciary and his willingness to go on his own. 4:03PM: Mr. Ngo: Gagawin niyang stepping stone yung position.</p> <p>Discussion and comments for Ms. Seculles. 4:04PM: Ms. Cruz: She is based on rules and understands the essence of what she is talking about. 4:05PM: Ms. Amper: She is very capable and aware, and she mentioned her practicum. She is very much capable to become an advisor. 4:05PM: Mr. Alfonso: I like the way she thinks; her regard for the view of the administration.</p> <p>Discussion and comments for Mr. Lee. 4:07PM: Ms. Mendez: He is matapang. 4:09PM: Mr. Militante: He didnt say naman that he would disregard the friend. 4:10PM: Ms. Tuason: I like how he isnt easily persuaded by bigger bodies when face with pressure.</p> <p>4:12PM: Ms. Amper motions to proceed to the discussion of other matters. 4:12PM: Motion approved.</p>

### 3. Agenda Proper

Item	Details
3.4 Other Matters	<p data-bbox="587 257 1401 320">3.4.1 4:12PM: Bianca Canchaling Introduces her thesis involving the LA.</p> <p data-bbox="587 353 1321 385">3.4.2 4:23PM: Mr. Militante motions for a closed door session.</p> <p data-bbox="587 389 1091 421">3.4.3 4:57PM: End of closed door session.</p> <p data-bbox="587 425 976 456">3.4.4 5:00PM: Thesis application</p> <p data-bbox="587 461 1286 492">3.4.5 6:08PM: Ms. Velasco motions to adjourn the session.</p> <p data-bbox="587 497 976 528">3.4.6 6:08PM: Motion adjourned.</p>